01/08/2018 GeMA – Dual Porosity 3D Example

Version 1.0





The example set

- This example set presents two 3D coupled hydromechanical simulations using the dual porosity, dual permeability model to represent a naturally fractured media.
- Only singular points are highlighted on this presentation. See the model files for additional details.



Example 1 - 3D Flow on a fractured Media



Example 2 – Dam seepage





1 – 3D FLOW ON A FRACTURED MEDIA





The Problem

 In this problem, a saturated poroelastic rectangle is compressed by applying uniform pressure at a region of 4 m * 1 m on the top of the model. The base is constrained in the *y*-direction and the left and right sides are constrained in the *x*-direction, beside front and back sides are constrained in *z*-direction to emulate the 2D problem. Natural fractures are represented by the dual porosity / dual permeability model.



Parameters	Values
Young's modulus, <i>E</i> (kPa)	1.00E+05
Possion's ratio, v	0.2
Normal stiffness of fracture, k_n (kPa/m)	2.00E+04
Tangencial stiffness of fracture, <i>k</i> _s (kPa/m)	1.00E+04
Hydraulic permeability of the matrix, k_m (m/s)	1.00E-11
Fracture aperture, $bx=by(m)$	4.9e-4
Relative compressibility, $\beta_{fr} = \beta_m (1/\text{kPa})$	0
Fluid viscocity, μ (cp)	1
Fracture spacing, <i>s</i> (m)	1
Specific weight of water, $\gamma_w(kN/m^3)$	10
Analysis time, <i>t</i> (s)	1.00E+09

Simulation file: DPDP-PTop.lua



Dual porosity Dual Permeability

 The dual porosity, dual permeability concept consists in the superposition of two porous systems with different characteristics. In fractured reservoirs, the primary porosity of the matrix and the secondary porosity of the fracture network are physically overlapped in space and time. The transfer of fluid occurs according to the potential of fluids between both, fracture and matrix media.

$$\nabla (D_{mfr}:\varepsilon - D_{mfr}:C_m:\alpha_m p_m - D_{mfr}:C_{fr}:\alpha_{fr}p_{fr}) + f = 0$$

$$\frac{k_m}{\mu} \nabla^2 p_m + D_{mfr}:C_m:\alpha_m:\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \beta_m \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial t} + \omega \left(p_m - p_{fr}\right) + q_m = 0$$

$$\frac{k_{fr}}{\mu}\nabla^2 p_{fr} + D_{mfr} \cdot C_{fr} \cdot \alpha_{fr} \cdot \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \beta_{fr} \frac{\partial p_{fr}}{\partial t} - \omega \left(p_m - p_{fr} \right) + q_{fr} = 0$$



Fig. 1. Idealization of naturally fractured system with dual porosity model.



Model file: State variable

For a coupled Hydro-Mechanical, dual porosity simulation, the needed state variables are the displacement, the pore pressure and the fracture pore pressure:

```
-- State variables
StateVar{id = 'u', dim = 3, description = 'Displacements in the X, Y and Z directions', unit = 'm',
    format = '8.4f', groupName = 'mechanic'}
StateVar{id = 'P', description = 'Pore pressure', unit = 'kPa',
    format = '8.4f', groupName = 'hydraulic'}
StateVar{id = 'Pf', description = 'Fracture pore pressure', unit = 'kPa',
    format = '8.4f', groupName = 'hydraulic'}
The group name is used by the fem
    solver to group types of state variables
    and to define tolerances for each one
```





Model file: Material properties

PropertySet

```
id
           = 'MatProp',
           = 'GemaPropertySet',
tvpeName
description = 'Material properties',
properties = {
 {id = 'E', description = 'Elasticity modulus',
                                                                unit = 'kPa',
 {id = 'nu', description = 'Poisson ratio'},
 {id = 'K', description = 'Hydraulic permeability in x',
                                                                unit = m/s',
 {id = 'gw', description = 'Specific weight of water',
                                                                unit = kN/m^{3'},
 {id = 'Kww', description = 'Bulk modulus of water',
                                                                unit = 'kPa'},
 {id = 'Pht', description = 'Porosity'},
 {id = 'Bp', description = 'Pore compressibility',
                                                                unit = 'kPa^{-1'},
 {id = 'Knf', description = 'Normal elastic stiffness',
                                                                unit = 'kPa/m'},
  {id = 'Ksf', description = 'Shear elastic stiffness',
                                                                unit = 'kPa/m'},
                                                                unit = 'kPa/m'},
  {id = 'Ktf', description = 'Tangential elastic stiffness',
  {id = 'Bpf', description = 'Pore compressibility of fracture', unit = 'kPa^-1'},
  {id = 'uff', description = 'Fracture dynamic visosity',
                                                                unit = 'kPa*s'},
                                                                                      HM Coupled Dual
                                                                                                        A map with the supported
  {id = 'Sx', description = 'Fracture spacing along x',
                                                                unit = 'm'},
                                                                                      porosity properties
                                                                                                        transfer function names
  {id = 'bx', description = 'Fracture aperture along x',
                                                                unit = 'm'},
  {id = 'Sy', description = 'Fracture spacing along y',
                                                                unit = 'm'},
 {id = 'by', description = 'Fracture aperture along y',
                                                                unit = 'm'},
                                                                 constMap = constants.HydroFemPhysics.shapeFactorModel},
  {id = 'shpFacType', description = 'Shape factor type',
  {id = 'materialHM', description = 'HydroMechanical material type', constMap = constants.CoupledHMFemPhysics.materialModels},
  {id = 'h', description = 'Element thickness',
                                                                unit = 'm'}, },
```

A map with the supported material names





Model file: Material properties

```
values = {
    {E = 1.00e+05, nu = 0.2, Knf = 2.00e+4, Ksf = 1.00e+4, Ktf = 1.00e+04, K = 1.00e-11, Kww = 2.20e+6,
    gw = 1.00e+01, Pht = 0.1, Bp = 1.44e-6, Bpf = 0, uff = 1.00e-06,
    Sx = 1.00e+00, bx = 0.00004933, Sy = 1.00e+00, by = 0.00004933, shpFacType = 'kazemi',
    materialHM = 'coupledDualPorosity', h = 1.000},
```

A material type from constants. CoupledHMFemPhysics.materialModels See the plugin docs for other available materials

Material was renamed to MaterialHM in the property set to avoid problems when we have materials with different physics. A transfer function from constants. HydroFemPhysics.shapeFactorModel See the plugin docs for other functions

Parameters	Values
Young's modulus, <i>E</i> (kPa)	1.00E+05
Possion's ratio, v	0.2
Normal stiffness of fracture, k_n (kPa/m)	2.00E+04
Tangencial stiffness of fracture, $k_s(kPa/m)$	1.00E+04
Hydraulic permeability of the matrix, k_m (m/s)	1.00E-11
Fracture aperture, $bx=by(m)$	4.9e-4
Relative compressibility, $\beta_{f'} = \beta_m (1/kPa)$	0
Fluid viscocity, μ (cp)	1
Fracture spacing, <i>s</i> (m)	1
Specific weight of water, $\gamma_w (kN/m^3)$	10
Analysis time, <i>t</i> (s)	1.00E+09



Model file: Boundary conditions





Solution file: Physics

The dual porosity model for a coupled HM problem is available through the DualPorosity object from the CoupledHMFemPhysics plugin.

PhysicalMethod {

```
id = 'DualPorosity',
typeName = 'CoupledHMFemPhysics.DualPorosity',
type = 'fem',
```

```
mesh = 'mesh',
elementGroups = {'HUPPF_1'},
materials = 'coupledDualPorosity',
boundaryConditions = {'cload', 'bpm', 'bpf', 'bc'},
ruleSet = 1,
```

```
properties = { material = 'materialHM' },
```

```
permeabilityUpdate = true,
penaltyStiffness = false,
```

Since the Material was renamed to MaterialHM in the property set, the physics must be warned about this change by a translation map that tells it that the expected property Material will be given by property MaterialHM.





Solution file: Orchestration



io.addResultToMeshFile(file, 0.0)

... continues on the next slide





Solution file: Orchestration

-- Flow loop

local dt = solverOptions.timeInitIncrement
local FinalTime = solverOptions.timeMax
local Time = dt
local OldTime = 0.0
local LastStep = false

```
while (Time <= FinalTime) do
print('------')
print(tr('Flow iteration - time = %1 s'):num(Time))
print('-----')</pre>
```

-- Run transient analysis. Solver returns a suggested
-- time-step for the next iteration
dt = fem.step(solver, dt)

```
-- If we are later on the simulation, lets ignore the
-- suggested time step and use an increment that is 5%
-- greater than the previous one
if(Time >=1e6) then
  dt = (Time - OldTime) * 1.05
end
```

```
-- Save results
io.addResultToMeshFile(file, Time)
print(tr('Flow iteration - Dtime = %1 s'):num(dt))
-- Adjust time to guarantee that the last iteration
-- will be on the requested final simulation time
OldTime = Time
if (Time + dt >= FinalTime and not LastStep) then
dt = FinalTime - Time
Time = FinalTime
LastStep = true
-- Test special case when Time == FinalTime
if equal(dt, 0.0) then break end else
Time = Time + dt
end
```

```
print('Time = ' .. Time)
end
```

```
-- Closes the result file

io.closeMeshFile(file)

end
```





Results at t = 1.0E9 s

3D

Pressure

2D





Results at t = 1.0e9 s

3D

Final Y Displacement

2D





2 – DAM-SEEPAGE PROBLEM





The problem

- In the following example, a dam-seepage 3D problem, transient flow results from the pressure differences between the right and left sides of the dam. The analysis procedure assumes the surrounding region is impermeable. There is also an impermeable barrier with 40 m deep.
- Natural fractures are represented by the dual porosity / dual permeability model. The barrier is modeled using interface elements.
 Parameters Values



Parameters	Values
Young's modulus, <i>E</i> (kPa)	1.00E+07
Possion's ratio, v	0.2
Normal stiffness of fracture, k_n (kPa/m)	1.00E+08
Tangencial stiffness of fractures, k_s , k_t (kPa/m)	1.00E+08
Hydraulic permeability of the matrix, k_m (m/s)	1.00E-8
Fracture aperture, $bx=by=bz$ (m)	1e-3
Relative compressibility, $\beta_{fr} = \beta_m (1/kPa)$	0
Fluid viscocity, μ (cp)	1
Fracture spacing, $Sx=Sy=Sz(m)$	10
Specific weight of water, γ_w (kN/m ³)	10
Analysis time, <i>t</i> (s)	1.00E+09

Simulation file: DAM-DPP-DFN.lua

The model file and the orchestration script for this example follows the same principles used on the previous one. See the simulation files for details.





Results at t = 3600 s

Matrix Pressure





۰

Fracture Pressure





6.10e+002

5.63e+002

5.16e+002

4.69e+002

4.22e+002

3.75e+002

3.28e+002

2.82e+002

2.35e+002

1.88e+002

1.41e+002

9.38e+001

4.69e+001

0.00e+000

Ę

Results at t = 3600 s

1.54e-003

1.42e-003





Final y Displacement 3.15e-003 2.90e-003 2.66e-003 2.42e-003 2.18e-003 1.94e-003 .69e-003 2 .45e-003 1.21e-003 9.68e-004 7.26e-004 4.84e-004 .42e-004 0.00e+000



